UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,174	03/23/2006	Rie Kojima	2006-0374 A	6653
52349 7590 07/29/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			MULVANEY, ELIZABETH EVANS	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,174	KOJIMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Elizabeth E. Mulvaney	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Ar</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-32 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access applicant may not request that any objection to the original process.	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/23/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the '837 reference does not discloses the claimed formula for the Ge-Bi-Te and/or Ge-Sn-Bi-Te alloys having Al, In, or Ga added thereto. However, the claims formulas (3) and (4) merely show the known alloy combinations for typical Ge-Bi-Te materials, i.e. alloying GeTe with Bi2Te3. The addition of a metal-tellurium portion of the alloy is the known method of introducing another substance into the overall recording layer. See US 6,858,277 col. 5.

The Double Patenting rejection is withdrawn, not because of the date of the patent, but because the claims to sufficiently specify the addition of another alloying element in the recording layer, i.e. the addition of Sn, Al, Ga, or In.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,858,277.

The reference discloses a recording medium comprising a substrate, dielectric layer, phase-change recording layer, second dielectric layer, and reflective layer. The layers are formed within the thickness ranges claimed. See Examples. The layers are formed by sputtering. See Examples. The medium is read with a wavelength such as 660nm. See Examples. The recording layer is formed of a Ge-Bi-Te quasi-binary or ternary alloy including Sn and Al. See col. 5. It is recognized that eh

reference does not specify the exact alloying ranges for the recording layer. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the amounts of the elements in the interest of optimizing the recording properties.

Claims 3-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,858,277 in view of US 2003/0186164.

The reference discloses a recording medium comprising a substrate, dielectric layer, phase-change recording layer, second dielectric layer, and reflective layer. The layers are formed within the thickness ranges claimed. See Examples. The layers are formed by sputtering. See Examples. The medium is read with a wavelength such as 660nm. See Examples. The recording layer is formed of a Ge-Bi-Te quasi-binary or ternary alloy including Sn and Al. See col. 5. It is recognized that the reference does not specify the exact alloying ranges for the recording layer. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the amounts of the elements in the interest of optimizing the recording properties. Further, it is recognized that the reference does not include the addition of In or Ga. However, the '164 reference shows that it is well-known to add elements such as Al, In, and Ga to reduce nucleation rates. See [0125].

Claims 3-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,416,837 in view of US 6,858,277.

The reference discloses a recording medium formed by sputtering on a substrate, a dielectric layer, a phase-change recording layer, a second dielectric layer, and a reflective layer. See Examples. the phase-change recording layer may be Ge-Bi-Te or Ge-Sn-Bi-Te having elements such as Al added thereto. It is recognized that the reference is silent as to the actual formulaic make-up of the layer. However, the '277 reference shows that it is known to mix quasi-binary or ternary alloys of GeTe, BiTe and MTe where M is Sn and/or Al. See col. 5. While the specific amounts of the

alloying elements are not exactly the same, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the amounts of the elements added in the interest of optimizing the recording properties.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth E. Mulvaney whose telephone number is 571-272-1527. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth E. Mulvaney/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794